APPENDIX G-5.

Analysis of Smile, Speech, and Tremor Model 1: Enlisted Flyers and Enlisted Groundcrew Combined

The statistical power to detect a relative risk (Ranch Hands versus Comparisons) significantly different from 1.0 is limited in some analyses in Chapter 11, Neurological Assessment, due to the relatively small number of abnormalities. In particular, the number of abnormalities in the enlisted flyer stratum for Model 1 analyses is small. Consequently, auxiliary analyses with the enlisted flyer and enlisted groundcrew strata combined are presented for smile (Table 13-13), speech (Table 13-17), and tremor (Table 13-30). The p-values for the Model 1 analyses of these three variables were not significant (p>0.10) for the enlisted flyer and enlisted groundcrew strata when analyzed separately, but marginally significant ($0.05 \le p < 0.10$) when the two strata were combined. These auxiliary analyses are found in Table G-5-1 (smile), Table G-5-2 (speech), and Table G-5-3 (tremor).

Table G-5-1.
Analysis of Smile
Enlisted Flyers and Enlisted Groundcrew Combined

a) MODEL 1: RANCH HANDS VS. COMPARISONS — UNADJUSTED					
Occupational Category	Group	n	Percent Abnormal	Est. Relative Risk (95% C.I.)	p-Value
AII	Ranch Hand Comparison	948 1,280	0.9 0.6	1.52 (0.59,3.97)	0.533
Officer	Ranch Hand Comparison	367 501	0.8 1.4	0.58 (0.15,2.26)	0.639
Enlisted Flyer and Enlisted Groundcrew	Ranch Hand Comparison	581 779	1.0 0.1	8.12 (0.98,67.62)	0.055

b) MODI	EL 1: RANCH HANDS VS.	COMPARISONS —	ADJUSTED
Occupational Category	Adj. Relative Risk (95% C.I.)	p-Value	Covariate Remarks ^a
All	1.53 (0.59,3.99)	0.383	OCC (p=0.096)
Officer	0.58 (0.15,2.26)	0.639	
Enlisted Flyer and Enlisted Groundcrew	8.12 (0.98,67.62)	0.055	

^a Covariates and associated p-values correspond to final model based on all participants with available data.

Table G-5-2.
Analysis of Speech
Enlisted Flyers and Enlisted Groundcrew Combined

a) MODEL 1: RANCH HANDS VS. COMPARISONS — UNADJUSTED					
Occupational Category	Group	n	Percent Abnormal	Est. Relative Risk (95% C.L.)	p-Value
All	Ranch Hand Comparison	948 1,280	0.6 0.2	4.07 (0.82,20.21)	0.133
Officer	Ranch Hand Comparison	367 501	0.3 0.2	1.37 (0.09,21.91)	0.999
Enlisted Flyer and Enlisted Groundcrew	Ranch Hand Comparison	581 779	0.9 0.1	6.75 (0.79,57.96)	0.109

b) МОД	EL 1: RANCH HANDS VS.	COMPARISONS —	ADJUSTED
Occupational Category	Adj. Relative Risk (95% C.I.)	p-Value	Covariate Remarks ^a
All	3.98 (0.80,19.91)	0.068	AGE (p=0.001)
Officer	1.40 (0.09,22.61)	0.813	OCC $(p=0.108)$
Enlisted Flyer and Enlisted Groundcrew	6.55 (0.74,57.62)	0.090	

^a Covariates and associated p-values correspond to final model based on all participants with available data.

Table G-5-3.

Analysis of Tremor

Enlisted Flyers and Enlisted Groundcrew Combined

a) MOD	EL 1: RANCH H	ANDS VS.	COMPARISO	NS — UNADJUSTED	
Occupational Category	Group	n	Percent Abnormal	Est. Relative Risk (95% C.L.)	p-Value
AII	Ranch Hand Comparison	948 1,280	3.0 2.7	1.12 (0.67,1.85)	0.771
Officer	Ranch Hand Comparison	367 501	2.2 4.0	0.54 (0.23,1.23)	0.194
Enlisted Flyer and Enlisted Groundcrew	Ranch Hand Comparison	581 779	3.4 1.8	1.95 (0.98,3.89)	0.081

b) МО Д	EL 1: RANCH HANDS VS.	COMPARISONS -	ADJUSTED
Occupational Category	Adj, Relative Risk (95% C.L.)	p-Value	Covariate Remarks ²
All	1.09 (0.65,1.83)**	0.755**	GROUP*OCC (p=0.029)
Officer	0.55 (0.24,1.28)	0.166	AGE*DRKYR ($p=0.038$)
Enlisted Flyer and Enlisted Groundcrew	1.83 (0.90,3.69)	0.094	<u> </u>

^a Covariates and associated p-values correspond to final model based on all participants with available data.

^{**} Group-by-covariate interaction (0.01); adjusted relative risk, confidence interval, and p-value derived from a model fitted after deletion of this interaction.